## 800K 47 PAGE 849

WILLIAM J. ARMSTRONG, et al :

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR

Plaintiffs

FREDERICK COUNTY,

vs.

MARYLAND

MAYOR AND TOWN COUNCIL
OF THE TOWN OF NEW MARKET,

EQUITY 26,693

et al

Defendants

AFFIDAVIT OF PLAINTIFFS IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED BY INTERVENORS

Before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland, personally appeared William J. Armstrong and Jane P. Armstrong, his wife, Plaintiffs in the above entitled cause, who, being duly sworn, deposed as follows:

- 1. That by Order of this Honorable Court, dated December 10
  1976 G. Ross Brinkley and Jean T. Brinkley, his wife, were granted leave to intervene as parties Defendant in the above entitled cause.
- 2. That under date of December 23, 1976, Intervenors filed their answer to Plaintiffs' Bill of Complaint, which answer challenged the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court under the Declaratory Judgment Act of Maryland and also raised numerous issues of fact and law.
- 3. That under date of December <u>20</u>, 1976, the above named Defendant filed its answer to said Bill of Complaint also denying the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court and raising further issues of law and fact.
- 4. That under date of January 2, 1977, Intervenors filed their Motion for Summary Judgment, comprising an extensive